

Deschutes River Estuary Restoration Study Biological Conditions Report

September 2006

Submitted to
Thurston Regional Planning Council

Submitted by
Ralph J. Garono, Erin Thompson, and Michele Koehler



Wetland & Watershed Assessment Group

Earth Design Consultants, Inc.

230 SW Third St., Suite 212

Corvallis, OR 97333

(541)757-7896

(541)757-7991 FAX

<http://www.earthdesign.com>

Table of Contents

Funding Agencies.....	iii
List of Figures.....	ix
List of Tables.....	xi
Executive Summary.....	1
Chapter 1: Introduction.....	5
Factors that Structure Biological Communities in Puget Sound Estuaries	5
Sediments.....	5
Salinity.....	6
Elevation/ Inundation.....	9
Southern Puget Sound Estuaries	11
Disturbance.....	12
Patterns of anthropogenic disturbance in Puget Sound	13
Eutrophication	15
Sedimentation and Sediment Contamination.....	16
Hydrologic Modifications.....	17
Climate Change.....	18
Nonnative and Invasive Species	19
Purple Loosestrife, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i>	20
Eurasian Watermilfoil, <i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i>	21
Canada geese, <i>Branta canadensis</i>	21
Nutria, <i>Myocaster coypus</i>	22
Knotweed, <i>Polygonum</i> spp.	22
Himalayan blackberry (<i>Rubus discolor</i>) and reed canarygrass (<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>).....	23
Smooth cordgrass (<i>Spartina alterniflora</i> and <i>Spartina angelica</i>)	23
European Green Crab (<i>Carcinus maenas</i>) and Chinese Mitten Crab (<i>Eriocheir</i> spp.).....	24
Other Disturbances.....	24
Previous Studies in Puget Sound Estuaries	24
WA DNR Shoreline Inventory	25
PRISM	26
LOTT Wastewater Management Partnership.....	26
Washington Natural Heritage Program.....	26
Puget Sound Nearshore Partnership.....	27
Puget Sound Action Team.....	27
PSAMP.....	27
SCALE.....	28
Thurston County Digital Shoreline Inventory	28

Washington Department of Ecology Programs.....	28
Shoreline Aerial Photos	28
Digital Coastal Atlas	28
Marine Water Quality Monitoring	29
Pacific Northwest Ecosystems Region Study	29
EDC Hyperspectral Mapping Project	29
Padilla Bay NERR	30
Nisqually Wildlife Refuge	30
Description of Study	30
USGS Model.....	32
Deschutes River Watershed	32
Capitol Lake and Reference Estuaries	34
Chapter 2: Methods.....	37
Field Sampling Methods	37
Vegetation/ Sediment Cover Plots	37
Pore Water/ Surface Water Measurements	37
Site Elevation.....	41
Sediment Samples.....	41
Bulk Density	41
Grain Size Distribution and Total Organic Content.....	41
Shell Collection.....	42
GPS Site Location.....	42
Laboratory Methods	43
Grain Size Analysis.....	43
Bulk Density	44
Organic Content.....	44
Shell Identification.....	44
Vegetation and Sediment Cover Calculations.....	44
Pore Water/ Surface Water Measurements.....	45
Elevation Calculations and Vertical Datum Conversions	45
Geospatial Methods	45
GPS	45
GIS.....	46
Shapefile of Reference Estuary Sample Locations	46
Reference Estuary Watershed Characterization	46
Statistical Methods	47
Chapter 3: Results.....	51
Sample Sites	51
GIS Watershed Characterization	51
Results for Salinity, Elevation, Sediments, Habitat, and Communities	54
Salinity	54
Elevation	54
Sediment	57
Sediment Type and Grain Size Distribution	57

Sediment Organic Matter Content	63
Bulk Density	63
Vegetative and Sediment Cover Plot Results.....	64
Shells and Invertebrate Collection	65
Reference Estuary Multivariate Analysis.....	68
Principal Components Analysis (PCA).....	68
Cluster Analysis	69
Discriminant Functions Analysis	70
Chapter 4: Discussion.....	73
Reference Estuary Study, Biological Conditions Report and the USGS Model	73
Capitol Lake and Reference Estuary Conditions	74
Characteristics of Capitol Lake	74
Characteristics of the five Reference Estuaries.....	74
Summary of Main Findings and Conclusions	80
Elevation/ Inundation	82
Salinity	86
Sediments.....	88
Common Estuarine Biological Communities	89
Mud Flats	90
Mixed Sand and Mud.....	92
Sandy Channels.....	92
Sand Flats.....	92
Habitat Bins and Biological Communities of the Reference Estuaries	93
Potential Habitats of a Restored Deschutes Estuary	94
Overview of Estuarine Functions	94
Key Uncertainties and Factors Affecting Restoration Outcomes	98
Land Use and Water Management	98
Climate Change.....	99
Native Species Recruitment.....	100
Invasive and Nuisance Species	100
Human Disturbances.....	101
Other Unknown Outcomes	101
Steps for Success - Active Management	102
Future Work	104
Summary	105
Literature Cited	107
List of Appendices	117
Appendix I: Conversion Table	119
Appendix II: USGS DELFT 3D Model.....	121
Appendix III: Salinity	125

Executive Summary

This report describes results of two separate studies: the Reference Estuary Study and Biological Conditions Report. In this report we also combine data we collected from southern Puget Sound reference estuaries with a hydrodynamic and sediment transport model, developed by USGS, to predict estuarine communities that could occur in a restored Deschutes Estuary. The overall goal of this suite of studies is to evaluate the feasibility of restoring the Deschutes River Estuary from Capitol Lake, a freshwater impoundment in downtown Olympia, WA.

The Reference Estuary Study consists of field sampling of environmental variables and biological variables in southern Puget Sound estuaries close to Capitol Lake. We sampled 90 sites in five reference estuaries and used multivariate statistics on the data gathered to describe patterns in the expected biological communities and to identify the environmental gradients that structured the communities. We used a geographic information system to combine our analysis of the field data with results from the USGS hydrodynamic and sediment transport model and the Biological Conditions study to describe the communities that will likely develop in the restored estuary.

The Biological Conditions section of this report describes important ecological processes that occur within southern Puget Sound estuaries and their watersheds, primarily gathered from the literature. The aim of this portion of the report was to combine the field and modeling work together in an effort to answer the overarching question of whether an estuarine community, with diverse populations of plants and other organisms can be reestablished in Capitol Lake. The Biological Conditions report also addresses uncertainties in reestablishing an estuary within the current Capitol Lake basin.

The five southern Puget Sound subestuaries selected for characterization in the Reference Estuary Study were Woodard Bay, Ellis Cove, and Mud Bay in Thurston County, and Kennedy Creek and Little Skookum Bay in Totten Inlet in Mason County. At each estuary, sixteen to twenty-one sampling points were located haphazardly. At each sampling point, biological and physical parameters were measured. We collected percent cover of vegetation and sediment types in a 1 m² quadrat, measured salinity, temperature, dissolved oxygen concentration, and pH, and measured elevation using a laser level calibrated to established benchmarks at each sampling point. Sediment cores were also collected for later laboratory assessment of bulk density, sediment grain size, and total organic content. Field crews also collected empty/dead invertebrate shells present near the sampling point plot center. The location of each site was also recorded with a high precision global positioning

system. To analyze the field data, we used a combination of cluster analysis and ordination to visualize patterns in our data sets. We then used discriminant analysis to assess factors responsible for the observed patterns in the reference estuaries.

The results of our estuary sampling show that the range of physical conditions predicted by the USGS model for the four Capitol Lake restoration scenarios do occur across the five reference estuaries. Salinities predicted for the restored Capitol Lake and from the reference estuaries ranged from fresh water to polyhaline, while elevations ranged from eulittoral to backshore. Silt loam sediments were the primary predicted sediment types for a restored Deschutes Estuary, and were also the most common in reference estuary sampling.

We used ordination and CLUSTER analysis to create ‘habitat bins’ from the physical variables measured at our reference estuary sites. We then matched up these ‘habitat bins’ with biological community data we collected to see how well communities could be predicted from the physical habitat variables. Our ordinations were successful at arranging sample sites, according to their degree of similarity, along principal components analysis axes 1 and 2. Additionally, we mapped sediment types associated with each sample point in ordination space and observed a pattern that grouped sites with similar sediment characteristics. We then used discriminant analysis to match habitat bins with the biological community data we collected. We found, however, that only 52% of the sites were correctly classified. We believe that many of the communities sampled, e.g., diatoms (a type of algae) and filamentous algal mats, were ubiquitous among the habitat types we sampled. Therefore, the discriminant analysis failed to match specific algal communities with the habitat bins we previously defined. We believe that our study would have benefited from a larger number of samples made across a wider range of habitat types and from a more detailed analysis of algal communities. In addition, analysis of benthic fauna, in addition to algae, may have helped discriminate among communities present at the reference estuaries.

Based on the primary variables and modifiers that structure estuarine communities described in the Biological Conditions report, several community types observed in the southern Puget Sound reference estuaries are expected to develop in a restored Deschutes Estuary: high and low salinity marshes, mud flats, mixed (sand and mud) flats, and sandy channels. Shallow areas of the restored Deschutes Estuary will exhibit marsh, mud and mixed flats while the deeper areas will exhibit sandy channels. Other habitats will certainly exist at the periphery of these communities and some blending between these communities is expected. The occurrence of mesohaline and polyhaline vegetated high marsh areas around the peripheries are expected to be limited. Based on observations made at five reference estuaries, we believe that the restored estuary will be intermediate to Mud Bay and Kennedy Creek but likely have sandier channels and more mixed sand and mud flats than either of these two reference estuaries.

Undoubtedly, the community types predicted for a restored Deschutes Estuary may not occur, or may occur in different spaces or proportions than expected. There are some key uncertainties associated with these predictions – land use and water management, climate change, native and nuisance species recruitment and management, and human disturbances – that we suspect will also be important in the development of estuarine communities in a restored Deschutes Estuary. Other unknowns, such as the variability of reference estuary

salinities and sediments throughout the seasons, stakeholder and community support, and the fact that our reference estuaries were from much smaller watersheds than the Deschutes are considerations beyond the scope of this study. However, based on our experience, the USGS model results, and a review of the literature, we believe that a restored Deschutes Estuary will harbor organisms mainly associated with oligo-mesohaline mud and sand flats, and that areas dominated by vegetated salt marsh communities will be rare.

This study is unique in that reference estuary conditions and modeled site conditions were combined with regional literature to predict what a restored Deschutes Estuary may be. However, the urban setting of Capitol Lake in itself poses some difficult obstacles for achievement of estuarine communities even if tidal flow is reestablished. We believe that with realistic restoration goals combined with active, adaptive management, these uncertainties can be overcome and estuarine communities can be reestablished in the Capitol Lake area.