

## Purple Loosestrife Biocontrol Agent Monitoring Project 2006

Earth Design Consultants, Inc.  
www.earthdesign.com

**Site:** Wallace Island

**Dates:** June 19 & July 28, 2006


**Lat/Long:** 46.150535875 N,  
123.230713792 W NAD83 Conus

**Columbia River Mile:** ~ 53


**Mean +/- S.D., Range in Elevation:**  
2.7 m +/- 0.4, 1.9-3.2 m NAVD88

**Distance to High Ground:** 9.0 m  
**Distance to Shrub/Forest Cover:**

	CASI <sup>1</sup>	CIR <sup>1</sup>	ETM+ <sup>1</sup>
<b>Shrub</b>	NA	251.9m	265.9m
<b>Forest</b>	NA	251.9m	220.2m



June quadrat locations.



July quadrat locations.

Field sampling was conducted during two separate periods during 2006, June and July. During each sampling period, 50 1m<sup>2</sup> quadrats were sampled along variable length transects radiating from a biocontrol agent release point.

The quadrat locations from each sampling period are displayed on color infrared photos of the site. The rings or buffers around each site indicate different distances (10, 25, 50, and 100 m) from the initial biocontrol release point. These buffers are used to summarize various field measures as a function of distance from the initial

<sup>1</sup> CASI=compact airborne spectrographic imager; CIR=color infrared photos; ETM+=enhanced thematic mapper plus; see main report for details

biocontrol release point.

Observations of the presence and number of biocontrol agents including *Galerucella pusilla* (GAPU), *G. californiensis* (GACA), *Hylobius transversovittatus* (HYTR), and *Nanophyes marmoratus* (NAMA), were made. We also observed the percent damage caused by the biocontrol agents, the number of new and old purple loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*), and noted other plant damage.

We created a digital elevation model (DEM) for each site using points collected using RTK (real time kinematic) GPS. Elevation was measured to within 2cm accuracy at approximately 200 points around the initial biocontrol release point during the RTK data collection and a grid was then created from this data. We used this DEM to assign elevation values to each quadrat. These elevations will be used to examine tidal inundation and relationships between biocontrol agent success and elevation.

## Site Summaries for each month

In the following tables, variables measured during the field sampling are summarized by sampling period (June or July). Shown are the average, minimum, and maximum values for measures of biocontrol agent presence and damage, along with the number of new and old purple loosestrife stems averaged over the total number of quadrats sampled in either the June or July period.

	<i>Galerucella pusilla</i> Adult	<i>Galerucella californiensis</i> Adult	<i>Galerucella nymphaeae</i> Adult	<i>Galerucella</i> Egg	<i>Galerucella</i> Larvae	% <i>Galerucella</i> Damage	% <i>Hylobius transversovittatus</i> Damage	<i>Nanophyes marmoratus</i> Adult	Other Herbivore Damage	New Stem Number	New Stem Length (cm)	Old Stem Number
<b>June</b>												
mean	0	0	0	0	1	1				5	60	2
st dev	0	0	0	1	2	2				7	59	4
min	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	0
max	1	0	3	7	14	10				25	197	23
proport	1/53	0/53	1/53	2/53	6/53	16/53	3/53	2/53	10/53	32/53		13/53
%	2	0	2	4	11	30	6	4	19	60		25
<b>July</b>												
mean	0	0	0	0	0	1				4	116	1
st dev	1	0	0	1	0	1				7	49	3
min	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	1	0
max	4	1	0	7	0	5				39	216	20
proport	2/51	2/51	0/51	6/51	0/51	12/51	0/51	0/51	14/51	20/51		4/51
%	4	4	0	12	0	24	0	0	27	39		8

## Relationship between elevation, biocontrol agents, and *L. salicaria*

Correlations between quadrat elevation, biocontrol agent measures, and the number of purple loosestrife stems are presented in the tables below. Only those quadrats for which an elevation value was available from RTK data are included in these correlations. Variables for which the Pearson correlation value is “(a)” indicate no correlation because one of the variables was constant. Significant correlations are indicated with a single asterisk (\*) at 0.05 level (2 tailed significance) and a double asterisk (\*\*) at the 0.01 level (2 tailed). Scatter plots of statistically significant correlations are also displayed.



		# GAPI adult	# GACA adult	# GA adult	# GA egg mass	# GA larvae	% GA damage	# NAMA adults	% HYTR damage	# new stems	# old stems
June	Correlation	-0.147 (a)		-0.22	-0.095	-0.147	-0.033	-0.023	-0.088	-0.402(**)	0.031
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.293		0.114	0.501	0.294	0.812	0.871	0.529	0.003	0.826
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53
July	Correlation	-0.069	0.014 (a)	(a)	-0.153 (a)		-0.049 (a)		-0.179 (a)		0.184
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.628	0.921		0.284		0.732		0.21		0.196
	N	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51

# new *L. salicaria* stems from June and July (104 quadrats) correlated with elevation is significant at the p=0.01 level – Pearson’s correlation r=-0.259, p=0.008.

**Correlations between these field variables and quadrat elevation were significant at atleast the 0.05 level at this site:**

*June: # new L. salicaria stems and and elevation*

*June and July together: # new L. salicaria stems and elevation*



## Buffered Distance Summaries:

In the following tables, variables measured during the field sampling are summarized for June and July by distance from the initial biocontrol release point. Shown are the average, minimum, and maximum values for measures of biocontrol agent presence and damage, along with the number of new and old purple loosestrife stems averaged over the total number of quadrats within each distance buffer (i.e. ring or donut) sampled in either the June or July period.

		<i>Galerucella pusilla</i> Adult	<i>Galerucella californiensis</i> Adult	<i>Galerucella nymphaeae</i> Adult	<i>Galerucella</i> Egg	<i>Galerucella</i> Larvae	% <i>Galerucella</i> Damage	% <i>Hylobius transversovittatus</i> Damage	<i>Nanophyes marmoratus</i> Adult	Other Herbivore Damage	New Stem Number	New Stem Length (cm)	Old Stem Number
10 m	June												
	mean						2				5	79	3
	st dev						3				8	41	6
	min						0		0		0	14	0
	max						10		1		22	152	18
	proport	0/13	0/13	0/13	0/13	0/13	6/13	0	1/13	2/13	7/13		5/13
%	0	0	0	0	0	46	0	8	15	54		38	
25 m	mean	0			1	2	1	0			8	87	3
	st dev	0			2	4	1	0			9	34	6
	min	0			0	0	0	0			0	14	0
	max	1			7	14	3	1			25	160	23
	proport	1/16	0/16	0/16	2/16	4/16	6/16	1/16	0/16	5/16	10/16		5/16
	%	6	0	0	13	25	38	6	0	31	63		31
50 m	mean	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		4	81	1
	st dev	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0		5	36	1
	min	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	6	0
	max	0	0	3	0	4	2	1	1		14	174	5
	proport	0/24	0/24	1/24	0/24	2/24	4/24	1/24	1/24	3/24	15/24		3/24
	%	0	0	4	0	8	17	4	4	13	63		13

No quadrats fell in the 100 m buffer area during the June 2006 sampling.

	July	<i>Galerucella pusilla</i> Adult	<i>Galerucella californiensis</i> Adult	<i>Galerucella nymphaeae</i> Adult	<i>Galerucella</i> Egg	<i>Galerucella</i> Larvae	% <i>Galerucella</i> Damage	% <i>Hylobius transversovittatus</i> Damage	<i>Nanophyes marmoratus</i> Adult	Other Herbivore Damage	New Stem Number	New Stem Length (cm)	Old Stem Number
10 m	mean	0	0	0	0	0	0				2	83	2
	st dev	0	0	0	0	0	0				7	8	6
	min	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	70	0
	max	0	0	0	1	0	1				21	91	20
	proport	0/10	0/10	0/10	1/10	0/10	1/10	0/10	0/10	1/10	1/10		1/10
25 m	mean	0	0	0	0	0	0				4	139	0
	st dev	0	0	0	0	0	1				10	31	1
	min	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	77	0
	max	0	1	0	0	0	4				39	216	2
	proport	0/16	2/16	0/16	0/16	0/16	3/16	0/16	0/16	4/16	4/16		1/16
50 m	mean	0	0	0	1	0	1				4	113	0
	st dev	1	0	0	2	0	2				6	51	0
	min	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	11	0
	max	4	0	0	7	0	5				19	200	2
	proport	2/25	0/25	0/25	5/25	0/25	8/25	0/25	0/25	9/25	14/25		2/25
	%	8	0	0	20	0	32	0	0	36	56		8

No quadrats fell in the 100 m buffer area during the July 2006 sampling.

## Tide Summary

NAVD88, meters		June	July
>3.2	>Highest Tide	0	2
2.77 to 3.1	MHHW to Highest Tide	37.7	41.2
1.55 to 2.76	MSL to MHHW	62.3	56.9
0.22 to 1.54	MLLW to MSL	0	0
-0.33 to 0.21	Lowest to MLLW	0	0
<-0.34	<Lowest Tide	0	0

The table above presents the percentage of quadrats sampled during June or July that have elevations that are either above the highest tide water level, between MHHW and the highest tide, between MSL and MHHW, between MLLW and MSL, between MSL and the lowest tide water level, and below the water level of the lowest tide. The majority of quadrats are above MSL, roughly 1.5 m NAVD88.



Knappa Slough	Tide Level (zero is MLLW)	Cumulative Percentage of Time	Elevation (NAVD88)
>MHHW	>8.3 ft	3.2%	>9.0 ft
>MSL	>4.3 ft	48.3%	>5.1 ft
>MLLW	>0 ft	94%	>0.7 ft
<MLLW	<0 ft	94.7-100%	<0.7 ft

The table above reports the percentage of time water levels at the tide gauge nearest the study site were above MHHW, above MSL, above MLLW, and below MLLW. Calculations are based on tidal values from every hour of every day between June 1, 2005 – December 31, 2006 at the nearest tidal gauge station of Knappa Slough; calculating the number of number of hours where the hourly tide level was in a given category (i.e. >MSL, >MLLW, etc.).<sup>2</sup> The elevations presented in the column marked “NAVD88” are equivalent to the tide levels in the second column but are simply converted from the MLLW to the NAVD88 tidal datum. This table indicates that only 3% of the time water levels are above the MHHW mark (8.3 ft MLLW) at this site. Forty-eight percent of the time water levels exceed MSL (4.3 ft), 94% of the time water levels exceed MLLW, and 95-100% of the time areas below MLLW (0 ft elevation) are under water.

## Site Photos



View northeast toward biocontrol release point (left), purple loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*) at water's edge (right). June, 2006.

<sup>2</sup> Nobeltec Tides and Currents software was used to generate tidal values and allows for the prediction of future tide levels. No adjustment was made for the distance of the biocontrol release point from the tidal gauge station because the effect due to distance is unknown and likely insignificant at the scale of the release points from one another.